

Michael F. Del Casino Regulatory Division Manager Suite 1000 1120 20th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 202 457-2023 FAX 202 457-2165

August 29, 2000

Mr. Dale Hatfield Chief, Office of Engineering and Technology Federal Communications Commission 2000 M Street NW, Suite 480 Washington, DC 20554

Dear Mr. Hatfield:

As required by Part 63.100(a) of the Federal Communications Commission's Rules, AT&T hereby files its Final Service Disruption Report for an AT&T network outage.

## 1. Date / Incident Location Time:

July 31, 2000 12:49 PM EDT

#### 2. GEOGRAPHICAL AREA AFFECTED:

Columbus, OH

#### 3. CUSTOMERS AFFECTED (APPROXIMATELY):

33,401 (based on blocked calls)

## 4. Types of Services Affected:

Intertoll, toll access and toll completing

## 5. **DURATION OF OUTAGE:**

One Hour



## 6. BLOCKED CALLS:

100,202

## 7A. CAUSE OF INCIDENT:

The 1B processor in the Columbus, OH 4ESS detected errors from various peripheral frames, resulting in intermittent switch phases. During this switch phase activity, automatic system recovery re-established a processor sanity configuration, however, 14 peripheral frames duplex failed. It was believed that only one peripheral frame prevented the 1B processor from communicating with the other peripheral frames, resulting in the phase activity and subsequent service degradation.

#### 7B. EQUIPMENT NAME / TYPE:

4ESS

## 7C. PART OF NETWORK:

Columbus, OH

#### 8. RESTORATION METHODS:

The on-site technical team identified the most suspect peripheral frame and replaced four circuit packs. The frame was intentionally left out-of-service until the packs that were removed, were returned to Lucent Technologies for testing and analysis results. Service was restored to the remaining 13 affected peripheral frames via manual intervention.

#### 9. STEPS TO PREVENT REOCCURRENCE:

AT&T and Lucent Technologies have jointly performed additional analyses of the intermittent hardware problems that affected communications on the peripheral frames, to achieve full automatic system recovery of the 4ESS.

## 10. APPLICABLE BEST PRACTICES:

AT&T has reviewed the <u>Network Reliability: A Report to the Nation, June 1993</u> and has evaluated all best practices in SECTION C – SOFTWARE AND SWITCHING SYSTEM RELIABILITY: CAUSE, CURE, OR BOTH? The recommendations below from such report have been identified and incorporated in the steps to prevent switching system outages.

## Section 5.3.3 Hardware Recommendations

- 5.3.1. This communication is crucial, since it is far more likely that a proper determination of root cause will be made by the system supplier and service provider jointly, rather than each one acting independently and with limited knowledge.
- 5.3.4 The system supplier should perform root cause analysis of all outages triggered by a single hardware failure.
- 5.3.5 Additionally, continued effort to improve the hardware reliability itself will minimize the need for activation of the fault recovery software and lead to more reliable operation of the entire system.

Sincerely,

00-119

This form should be sent for the following timeframes: B/C 90,000-149,999 3 days
B/C 150,000 & greater 120 minutes

# AT&T Initial Service Disruption Report

FAX TO: FCC WATCH OFFICE, WASHINGTON, DC

ALTERNATE FCC WATCH OFFICER

MOP 3.01 (for form instructions)

202-632-6975 Voice 202-418-2812 FAX 202-418-2813 FAX

▶ 1. Date/Time of incident	7-31-2000 1249 EDT
2. Geographic Area Affected	Columbus, OHIO
3. Customers Affected (est)	30 K+
4. Types of Service Affected	Intentoll, Tell Convect
5. Duration of Outage	1 Hour
6. Blocked Calls (est)	90 K +
7A. Cause of Incident	Under Investigation
7B. Equipment Name/Types	YESS EQUIPMENT
7C. Part of Network Affected	Columbus, OHTO
8. Restoration Methods Used	N/A
9. Steps to prevent recurrences	NA
ATST Occite 1 D	
AT&T Contact Person: Telephone Number: Date/Time of Report:	Mike DelCasino 202-457-2023

Revised 7/00

FCCform.doc